UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,957	09/20/2006	Poul Erik Jespersen	PATRADE	8749
James C Wray	7590 05/14/200	7	EXAM	INER
1493 Chain Bridge Road Suite 300			NGUYEN, DUNG V	
McLean, VA 2	2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\delta \gamma^{\prime}$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,957	JESPERSEN, POUL ERIK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung V. Nguyen	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2006 is/o Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. are: a) accepted or b) objected or by obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/2006.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: typo error, page 10, line 30, "pipe connection 5" should be "pipe connection 4". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishio (USPN 5,713,785). Nishio discloses a grinding apparatus comprising a screen unit 2 having a pipe connection 7 for connecting to a suction unit 8, a lower edge having a spacer 5 that are adjustable and replaceable and establishes an spacing adjustment of the grinding unit relative to an underlying surface 4, a sealing member 22 that fits tightly to the underlying surface 4 and interact with the suction unit 8 for forming a vacuum that holds the grinding apparatus against the surface 4 during operation, wherein the grinding unit having a circular grinding head 1, wherein the screen unit 2 is connected to a handle (note Fig. 1-9, col. 2, line 29 to col. 4, line 13). However, Nishio does not disclose a number of grinding units and drive units. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to include a number of grinding units and drive units, since it has been held that mere duplication of

Art Unit: 3723

the essential working parts of a device involved only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis*Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Page 3

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishio (USPN 5,713,785) in view of Huchins (USPN 4,839,995). Nishio discloses the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above, however, Nishio does not disclose a damper device for reducing vacuum in a screen unit. Huchins discloses a damper device 76 for reducing a vacuum in a screen unit (note Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 31-46). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the grinding apparatus of Nishio with a damping device disclosed by Huchins in order to reduce a vacuum in the screen unit to any desired value.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oimoen, Marton'678 and '589 and Ohlendorf are cited to show grinding apparatus.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4490. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP Program.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,957

Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

DVN May 7, 2007